

# Report to the Executive Member for Public Protection for Decision

Portfolio: Public Protection

Subject: Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting

**Restrictions - Drift Road, Wallington** 

**Report of:** Director of Regulatory and Democratic Services

Strategy/Policy:

Corporate Objective: A safe and healthy place to live and work

# Purpose:

To inform the Executive Member of the outcome of the statutory advertisement of a proposal to introduce waiting restrictions and to obtain authorisation to implement a Traffic Regulation Order.

# **Executive summary:**

This report addresses concerns in respect of parking in Drift Road. Following consultations it is proposed to introduce waiting restrictions to overcome the concerns expressed.

#### **Recommendation:**

That the waiting restrictions are introduced as shown at Appendix B.

### Reason:

To improve road safety and to reduce the risk of obstructions.

### **Cost of Proposals:**

The cost of the proposal will be met from the Traffic Management budget.

### **Risk Assessment:**

There are no identified risks associated with this proposal.

Appendices Appendix A: Proposal as advertised

Appendix B: Proposal as modified



# **Executive Briefing Paper**

Date: 17 September 2013

Subject: Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting Restrictions - Drift Road,

Wallington

Briefing by: Director of Regulatory and Democratic Services

Portfolio: Public Protection

# **Supporting Information**

### **Background**

- 1. Drift Road leads off North Wallington in Wallington village, commencing with a narrow section adjacent to the White Horse public house.
- 2. This narrow section has been the subject of concern to the Wallington Residents Association (WRA), on the grounds that emergency access may be prevented by vehicles parking in this section.
- 3. In order to address the concerns, it was proposed to introduce a waiting restriction in this narrow section as shown at Appendix A.

### Consultations

- 4. The Ward Councillors, County Councillor and Police were consulted on this proposal and all expressed their support.
- 5. The Statutory Consultees were consulted and no objections were received.

### Representations

- 6. The proposal was formally advertised in May 2013 and a single response was received, which was from the landlord of the public house on the grounds that vehicles parked in this section have never led to a problem in recent years.
- 7. The landlord's concerns were conveyed to the WRA at a site meeting during June 2013, which was also attended by both Ward Councillors for this area. It was discussed that due to a stagger in the wall of the public house, parking for one car at the end of the existing waiting restrictions closest to North Wallington, carries a significantly reduced risk of causing any obstruction.
- 8. A compromise would be to leave a gap for a single car at this point, but continue with the proposed prohibition of waiting on the narrowest section. This would also

- be more in keeping with the village nature of the area, than would be a sizeable and continuous length of double yellow lining.
- 9. This was discussed by all present including the landlord. Everyone present agreed to this compromise which is shown at Appendix B.

# Conclusion

10. It is therefore recommended that the proposed waiting restrictions are implemented as shown at Appendix B.