

## Draft Document Consultation Comments Responses and Action Table

| Comment  | No. | Officer Response   | Action                                      |
|--|-----|--|---|
| <b>Q1. KEY FEATURES IDENTIFIED IN THE DOCUMENT TO BE PRESERVED AND ENHANCED</b>  |     |  |   |
| Support features identified in the list.   | 5   | Support is noted.  | No alteration to the document.              |
| <b>The Fareham Society</b> - An excellent appraisal document. Agreement with the key features to conserve and enhance on page 16.                                  |     |  |   |
| <b>The Fareham Society</b> -The subject of the correct pointing of brickwork on historic buildings should be specifically mentioned.                               | 1   | Agreed. The document should make reference to the importance of correct pointing.  | The document should be amended accordingly. |
| Requirements regarding architectural materials should be tempered by reasonableness and common sense. Excessive cost to householders should be taken into account. | 1   | <p>The cost of the use of appropriate materials and design in achieving development appropriate for the conservation area is not a material planning consideration.</p> <p>The aim of conservation is not to prevent all change but to maintain and manage the character and appearance of the conservation area. The council has a duty when making planning decisions to pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing its character or appearance. The council operates a pre-application service to advise and encourage owners to undertake changes in a manner that is sympathetic to the character and appearance of the conservation area.</p> <p>The National Planning Policy Framework advises that heritage assets should be conserved in a manner appropriate to their significance. The character appraisal identifies the aspects of the conservation area that contribute to its character and appearance and applications</p> | No alteration to the document.              |

## Draft Document Consultation Comments Responses and Action Table

| Comment   | No. | Officer Response  | Action                         |
|---|-----|---|--------------------------------|
|   |     | for development will be considered in the light of this evidence, local plan policy and national planning guidance. |                                |
| <b>Q2. COMMENTS ON OPPORTUNITIES IDENTIFIED (ON PAGE 20) FOR ENHANCING CHARACTER AND APPEARANCE.</b>  |     |   |                                |
| 1. Retention and improvement of architectural detailing, particularly windows and doors to prevent further harm to the character and appearance of the conservation area  |     |   |                                |
| Support.  | 3   | Support noted.  | No alteration to the document. |
| Requirements should be tempered by reasonableness and common sense. Excessive cost to householders should be taken into account. It is a conservation area, not a museum. | 1   | See response to Q1 above.   | No alteration to the document. |
| 2. Improvements to signage/ street surfacing  |     |   |                                |
| Support.  | 4   | Support noted.  | No alteration to the document. |

## Draft Document Consultation Comments Responses and Action Table

| Comment  | No. | Officer Response  | Action   |
|--|-----|---|--|
| Use of a similar road and paving surface to the recent semi-pedestrianised Palmerston Road scheme in Southsea should be considered in part or throughout the conservation area. This would further strengthen the identity of the conservation area by unifying the road and pavement design with the local architecture; vastly improve the overall look of the conservation area and act as a traffic calming measure to link with the 20 MPH speed limit. | 1   | <p>Extensive pedestrianisation or resurfacing of the conservation area is not proposed at this time. Wallington has a different smaller scale, village character than the urban areas of Southsea.</p> <p>The opportunity for enhancement in the document refers to limited improvement of existing surfacing materials where these are currently badly maintained and/ or harmful to character and appearance.</p> | No alteration to the document.   |
| 3. Maintenance of the planting that screens the industrial and retail development to the west of the conservation area.  |     |   |  |
| Support.   | 4   | Support noted.  | No alteration to the document.   |
| Inadequate planting/ further screening required.   | 1   | The contribution that existing planting makes to screening of the industrial and retail development and the importance of its maintenance is included in the document.  | Amend document to refer to strengthening of planting between the conservation area and industrial/ retail development/ car park on Broadcut. |
| Trees dying on the triangle of land that houses the water pipe in the village hall car park. Area becoming a waste and dumping ground. No screening left to the side directly next to the river edge as people trample on it and it is now mud. The document identifies the area as "important planting".  | 1   | There has been notable recent loss of screening and it would be appropriate to make reference to the need for some further strengthening of existing planting in the document to achieve this aim.  | These comments will be passed to the Council's Streetscene Department and the possibility of further planting will be raised.                |

## Draft Document Consultation Comments Responses and Action Table

| Comment   | No. | Officer Response  | Action         |
|---|-----|---|----------------|
| 4. The removal of overhead cables on North Wallington |     |   |                |
| Support.  | 4   | The desirability of removing overhead cables is included in the document. | No alteration. |

## Draft Document Consultation Comments Responses and Action Table

| Comment  | No. | Officer Response   | Action         |
|--|-----|--|----------------|
| <b>Q3. Comments on the proposal to control harmful alterations to buildings using an article 4 direction to require planning application</b>   |     |  |                |
| Support.   | 3   | Support noted.   | No Alteration. |
| Persuasion and encouragement are better than a heavy handed autocratic approach. Wallington is a pleasant and tranquil place to live and I would not wish it to lose touch with its important heritage but people live here and should not have unreasonable demands placed upon them. | 1   | The term 'harmful' refers to harm the significance of the conservation area; its character and appearance. The aim of conservation is not to prevent all change but to maintain and manage the character and appearance of the conservation area. The council has a duty when making planning decisions to pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the conservation area.  | No alteration. |
| It is difficult to comment effectively without a definition of "harmful". I do not agree with the use of article 4 direction being used to remove permitted development.   | 1   | <p>The National Planning Policy Framework advises that heritage assets should be conserved in a manner appropriate to their significance. The character appraisal identifies the aspects of the conservation area that contribute to its character and appearance and applications for development will be considered in the light of this evidence, local plan policy and national planning guidance.</p> <p>The council operates a pre-application service to advise and encourage owners to undertake changes in a manner that is sympathetic to the character and appearance of the conservation area. It is however considered that removal of permitted development rights for alterations (to make a planning application necessary) is justifiable as it allows proper consideration of the impact of proposed alterations through the planning process.</p> |                |

## Draft Document Consultation Comments Responses and Action Table

| Comment   | No. | Officer Response  | Action   |
|---|-----|---|--|
| <b>Q4. General Comments</b>   |     |   |  |
| <p>The introduction is inaccurate at 2.1 and at 3.3 concerning the description of the river catchment and the extent to which it is tidal. The following replacement text is suggested;</p> <p>Para 2.1 – The river system has a spread of numerous sources which occur in five areas northwards of Portsdown, in the vicinity of Newtown to the north, World’s End, Denmead, Waterlooville and Purbrook to the East; excluding Hambledon.</p> <p>Para 3.3 - ..... which can be tidal above the cob and pen bridge during spring tides and extending exceptionally up to Drift Road</p> | 1   | The introductory text provides a context for the river and settlement, it is appropriate to make sure it is accurate.   | <p>Replace text relating to the catchment of the river in para 2.1 to read –<br/> “The river has its catchment in the area north of Portsdown Hill, it flows through Boarhunt around the western extent of Portsdown and through Wallington Village into Fareham Creek”.</p> <p>Replace text relating to the tidal extent of the river in para 3.3 to read –<br/> ".....at a fording point of the river, which can be tidal in the village".</p> |
| <p>Give great consideration to not placing additional controls or burdens on any development of existing private premises.</p> <p>The nation is at risk of seeking to control minor development (as opposed to corporate development) such as to place some areas in a form of living museum.</p>   | 1   | The aim of conservation is not to prevent all change but maintain and manage the character and appearance of the conservation area whilst allowing appropriate change. The council has a duty when making planning decisions to pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the conservation area. The National Planning Policy Framework also advises that heritage assets should be conserved in a manner appropriate to their significance. The character appraisal identifies the aspects of the conservation area that contribute to its character and appearance. | No alteration to the document.   |

## Draft Document Consultation Comments Responses and Action Table

| Comment   | No. | Officer Response  | Action                                |
|---|-----|---|---------------------------------------|
| <p>Allow communities to prosper and go forwards, not place burdens in cost and time, particularly in times of financial constraint.</p> <p>Too much emphasis on seeking to maintain a "picture book history" in a physical sense. It seems easier to get permissions for a large eyesore of corporate development than for private individuals to gain permissions for minor changes.</p> |     | <p>Applications for development will be considered in the light of this evidence, local plan policy and national planning guidance.</p> |                                       |
| <p>Wallington is a pleasant and tranquil place to live and I would not wish it to lose touch with its important heritage but people live here and should not have unreasonable demands placed upon them.</p>  | 1   |   |                                       |
| <p>The description on the bottom picture of page 15 "The former brewery, Drift Road" should be changed to " The Maltings, part of the former brewery, Drift Road" as currently it implies that the building shown is the whole of the brewery, rather than just part of it.</p>   | 1   | <p>Agreed.</p>  | <p>The caption should be altered.</p> |

## Draft Document Consultation Comments Responses and Action Table

| Comment  | No. | Officer Response   | Action   |
|--|-----|--|--|
| Fear of flooding in the future when the new Welborne development is built.   | 2   | Matters relating to the causes of flooding are beyond the scope of the document. Where planning permission is required for new works in connection with flood protection the council will consider their impact on the character, appearance and setting of the conservation area in determination of the application. | No alteration.   |
| Concern relating to flooding and its causes.   | 1   |  |  |
| Appreciation of new street lighting.   | 1   | Support for the new lighting is welcomed.  | No alteration.   |
| <b>The Fareham Society</b> - The high level footbridge leading into the conservation area has "banners" running along the railings, apparently for safety reasons. Are these a permanent feature and what is the problem? They are neat but unattractive and the area would be enhanced if they were improved. | 1   | The footbridge lies outside the conservation area boundary. The existing footbridge railings are to be replaced.   | No alteration to the document.                                 |
| The triangle of land that houses the water pipe adjacent to the village hall car park has unsightly brightly coloured recycling bins.  | 1   | The placement of recycling bins is beyond the scope of the document. The comment will be passed to the Council's Street scene Department.  | Comment to be passed to the Council's Street Scene Department. |

| Specific Highway Issues   |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Garden backs onto Broadcut which is ever increasing in traffic volume and consists of heavy lorries delivering or collecting from the industrial units on the site. | 1 | These issues are beyond the scope of the document. They have been passed to the Highway Authority. | No alteration. The comments have been passed to the Highway Authority. |



## Draft Document Consultation Comments Responses and Action Table

| Comment  | No. | Officer Response | Action |
|--|-----|------------------|--------|
| Concern at motorway Noise from extra traffic when Wellborne is built.  | 1   |                  |        |
| Signage for 20 mph limit initially wrongly placed so could not be seen | 1   |                  |        |