



Report to the Executive Member for Public Protection for Decision

Portfolio:	Public Protection
Subject:	Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting Restrictions - Marks Road, Stubbington
Report of:	Director of Regulatory and Democratic Services
Strategy/Policy:	
Corporate Objective:	A safe and healthy place to live and work

Purpose:

To inform the Executive Member of the outcome of the statutory advertisement of a proposal to introduce waiting restrictions and to obtain authorisation to implement a Traffic Regulation Order.

Executive summary:

This report addresses a request by Hampshire County Council to provide a short extension to existing waiting restrictions in Marks Road, in conjunction with developments at the Daedalus site.

Recommendation:

That the waiting restrictions as shown at Appendix A are introduced as advertised.

Reason:

To improve road safety and to reduce the risk of obstructions.

Cost of proposals:

The cost of the proposal will be met by developer funding.

Risk Assessment:

There are no identified risks associated with this proposal.

Appendices

[Appendix A : Scheme drawing - as advertised](#)



FAREHAM BOROUGH
COUNCIL

www.fareham.gov.uk

Executive Briefing Paper

Date: 19 February 2013

Subject: Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting Restrictions - Marks Road, Stubbington

Briefing by: Director of Regulatory and Democratic Services

Portfolio: Public Protection

Supporting Information

Background

1. As part of the development works for the Daedalus site in Stubbington, Hampshire County Council have asked for a short extension to the existing waiting restriction on the western side of Marks Road.
2. This extension would improve the ability for vehicles travelling in opposite directions, to pass each other, and would be for just 10 metres.

Consultations

3. The Ward Councillors, County Councillor and the Police have been consulted on this proposal and all offered their support.
4. The Statutory Consultees have been consulted and no objections were received.

Representations

5. The proposal was formally advertised in January 2013, and no objections have been received.

Conclusion

6. It is recommended that the restrictions are implemented as advertised and detailed at Appendix A to this report.