



Report to the Executive Member for Public Protection for Decision

Portfolio:	Public Protection
Subject:	Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting Restrictions - Peckham Close
Report of:	Director of Regulatory and Democratic Services
Strategy/Policy:	
Corporate Objective:	A safe and healthy place to live and work

Purpose:

To inform the Executive Member of the outcome of the statutory advertisement of a proposal to introduce waiting restrictions and to obtain authorisation to implement a Traffic Regulation Order.

Executive summary:

This report addresses a request by Hampshire County Council to provide waiting restrictions in the junction area of Peckham Close and Hunts Pond Road.

Recommendation:

That the waiting restrictions as shown at Appendix A are introduced as advertised.

Reason:

To improve road safety and to reduce the risk of obstructions.

Cost of proposals:

The cost of the proposal will be met by developer funding.

Risk Assessment:

There are no identified risks associated with this proposal.

Appendices

[Appendix A : Scheme drawing - as advertised](#)



FAREHAM BOROUGH
COUNCIL

www.fareham.gov.uk

Executive Briefing Paper

Date: 19 February 2013

Subject: Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting Restrictions - Peckham Close

Briefing by: Director of Regulatory and Democratic Services

Portfolio: Public Protection

Supporting Information

Background

1. Hampshire County Council are carrying out improvement works in the northern section of Hunts Pond Road. As part of these works they have requested Fareham Borough Council provide waiting restrictions at the junction area of Hunts Pond Road with Peckham Road.
2. There are existing waiting restrictions in this junction area, but only on the eastern side of Hunts Pond Road. When cars park on the western side close to the junction, this compromises visibility of the exit from Peckham Close.
3. In order to address this, further waiting restrictions are proposed

Consultations

4. The Ward Councillors, County Councillor and the Police have been consulted on this proposal and all offered their support.
5. The Statutory Consultees have been consulted and no objections were received.

Representations

6. The proposal was formally advertised in January 2013, and no objections have been received.

Conclusion

7. It is recommended that the restrictions are implemented as advertised and detailed at Appendix A to this report.