

**WRITTEN DEPUTATIONS
PLANNING COMMITTEE**

These written deputations are to be considered in line with the Council's deputation scheme.

(1) **WRITTEN DEPUTATIONS** (Pages 1 - 3)

**For further information please contact:
Democratic Services, Civic Offices, Fareham, PO16 7AZ
Tel:01329 236100
democraticservices@fareham.gov.uk**

Robert Marshall – Representing The Fareham Society

P/24/1016/FP Kingfishers, Fishers Hill, Fareham

Fareham Society deputation to 11th December Planning Committee

On application P/24/1016/FP Construction of 3 dwellings etc. at Kingfishers Hill Fareham

The Fareham Society has no objection to some form of housing on the application site.

However, we remain opposed to the proposed development as set out in our letter of 29th August. In this we indicate that applicant has not overcome the concerns raised by the Inspector in dismissing an appeal for a similar development. Thus, this latest scheme would still harm the Catisfield and Titchfield Abbey Conservation Areas and the setting of two nearby listed buildings.

As such we fully endorse the Officer's committee report and your Conservation Officer's consultation response which draw the same conclusion and indicate exactly why such harm would be caused.

The Fareham Society urges that permission be refused.

Mr & Mrs Fisher

Deputation on P/24/1159/FP Bush Mug House, Walpole Lane, Swanwick

The proposed site is of 2 gardens sloping southwards towards woodland (which is not part of the proposed site) and a stream. This has been the general characteristic of most of the houses on Walpole Lane for years until the recent granting of permission for Woodland Vale immediately to the west of the proposed site.

Prior to the Woodland Vale development you would not have been able to argue that the proposed development was in fitting with the area. This development may be in fitting with Woodland Vale (still under construction), but it is not in fitting with *anything* to the East – it is incongruous. The prevailing character and appearance of the area is NOT that of Woodland Vale, it is that which has been longstanding - one of an open and rural nature, woodlands and a diversity of wildlife.

If permission is granted for this non-essential development, partly on the basis of Woodland Vale setting a dangerous new precedent for the character of the area, presumably permission could be granted to successive proposals to the East along Walpole Lane and Swanwick Lane in the future, using the same argument repeatedly. Eventually the entire landscape and character of the area would no longer be one of countryside but one of multiple housing developments. The development **will** have a significant impact on the surrounding and prevailing character of the area. Aren't Woodland Vale and The Brickworks enough? Where/when will the line be drawn?

Your report states that "There would be a Biodiversity Landscape Buffer located to the rear of the application site, this would be located within the existing wooded area." The buffer as it is shown on the site plan does not even exist, there is no existing wooded area before the stream, just open grassland.

With regards to noise and disturbance, the proposed restrictions on times of works will be of no assistance to homeworkers.

There is also the increase in traffic that will be caused by this proposed development combined with that of Woodland Vale and The Brickworks. Swanwick Lane is already often gridlocked during the rush hours.

Entry and exit from Walpole Lane is already precarious due to the immediate bend in the road to the right. Further traffic in and out of Walpole Lane and on Swanwick Lane from Woodland Vale and The Brickworks will worsen matters on this tricky 25mph section of Swanwick Lane.

Phyllis Burton

P/24/1016/FP Bush Mug House, Walpole Lane, Swanwick

I have lived in Walpole Lane for over 30 years. I have thought myself so lucky to live in such a peaceful lane with so few houses. Now I am on my own I still feel so very safe here. It's such a wonderful feeling to feel safe in this changing world of ours and in this neighbourhood where we all look out for one another.

There is no need at all for more houses here. What is here is enough and fits with the countryside. There is no need or desire for the extra noise and traffic. Walpole Lane is a little, narrow unadopted lane, not suitable to higher levels of traffic. It is difficult enough to get in and out of already. Also as homeowners we maintain the lane ourselves. I object to someone taking it upon themselves to mess with the lane. The council and the highways people haven't adopted it so how can they give permission to alter it? Who will maintain the changed area?

I also don't want more lighting in the area. This has been an area with no nuisance from lighting. The lights from Woodland Vale can already be seen from all along.

I can only speak from the heart. I can't fathom policies, strategies or even the internet, I wouldn't even know where to start. But I want to have my say. And my say is that this type of housing development is not needed or wanted on this country lane.