

FAREHAM

BOROUGH COUNCIL

Report to the Executive Member for Public Protection for Decision

Portfolio:	Public Protection
Subject:	Traffic Regulation Order – Proposed Waiting Restrictions – Watersmeet, Fareham
Report of:	Director of Environmental Services
Strategy/Policy:	
Corporate Objective:	A safe and healthy place to live and work

Purpose:

To inform the Executive Member of the outcome of the statutory advertisement of a proposal to introduce waiting restrictions and to obtain authorisation to implement a Traffic Regulation Order.

Executive summary:

This report considers the introduction of waiting restrictions into Watersmeet, to address concerns expressed by local residents.

Recommendation:

That the waiting restrictions as shown at Appendix A are introduced.

Reason:

To provide a clearer route for the passage of vehicles and to improve road safety.

Cost of Proposals:

The cost of the proposals will be met by Fareham Borough Council's Traffic Management budget.

Risk Assessment:

There are no identified risks associated with this proposal.

Appendices Appendix A : Scheme drawing

FAREHAM

BOROUGH COUNCIL

Executive Briefing Paper

Date: 17 March 2015

Subject:: Traffic Regulation Order – Proposed Waiting Restrictions - Watersmeet, Fareham

Briefing by: Director of Environmental Services

Portfolio: Public Protection

Supporting Information

Background

1. Watersmeet runs southwards from Salterns Lane at Fareham quayside, to serve a number of residential premises.
2. Parking habitually takes place along parts of this area, some of which is tolerable, but it is hazardous near to the Salterns Lane junction.
3. In order to address this, it is proposed to prohibit parking on the western side of Watersmeet from Salterns Lane to and including the junction area with The Moorings, as shown on the drawing at Appendix A. Restrictions already exist along Salterns Lane itself in this area.
4. Restrictions are also proposed along the eastern side of Watersmeet, for a shorter length. Parking can take place along the eastern side beyond this section without causing too much of a hazard, and making the proposals too extensive would add pressure to other parts of the area.

Consultations

5. The Ward Councillors, County Councillor and Police have been consulted on this proposal and no-one has raised any objections.
6. The Statutory Consultees were consulted and no objections were received.

Representations

7. The proposal was advertised in January 2015 and a single comment was received. This was in support of the proposals, but asking if they could be extended further into The Moorings to prevent obstruction of their vehicular access.

8. The proposals cannot be extended without further advertisement of the restrictions, and there is a risk of a vehicular access being obstructed at any point that the restrictions end, or indeed further along the road.
9. It is suggested that the restrictions should be introduced as advertised, but monitored and reviewed if any particular issues arise after their implementation, which suggest that a further extension of them would be beneficial on road safety grounds.

Conclusion

10. It is recommended that the proposed waiting restrictions are introduced as advertised and shown at Appendix A.