# **UPDATES**

# for Committee Meeting to be held on 12/07/2023

# **ZONE 1 – WESTERN WARDS**

(no.) [Reference] [Ward]

[Location]

[Update Notes]

### **ZONE 2 – FAREHAM**

(no.) [Reference] [Ward]

[Location]

[Update Notes]

# **ZONE 3 – EASTERN WARDS**

#### (3) P/23/0245/FP STUBBINGTON

"Horse Field", Land North of Brune Lane, Fareham

As a consequence of the proposal providing a new parking area for users of the retained horse field, a further condition is added to the recommendation. This condition will secure the restoration of the area used for parking on the north eastern side of the Broom Way/Brune Lane junction to highway verge.

Additional condition:

19. The crematorium and memorial garden hereby authorised by this permission shall not be first used until:

a) The local planning authority has approved in writing a scheme of works for the improvement to the north east side of the Broom Way / Brune Lane junction to remove the informal parking area, return it to a grassed verge and limit future informal verge parking.

*b)* The approved works have been completed in accordance with part *a)* of this condition; unless alternative arrangements to secure the

specified works have been approved in writing by the local planning authority.

REASON: In the interest of the visual amenity of the area and as a consequence of replacement parking being provided for horse field users as part of the permission.

# **MISCELLANEOUS**

(Item 8)

P/23/0476/TPO – LOCKS HEATH

## 80 HEATH ROAD, LOCKS HEATH, SOUTHAMPTON SO31 6PJ

On 6 April 2023 a provisional order was made in respect of 2 individual oak trees and 1 maple tree. No formal objections have been received; however, upon further inspection of the maple officers identified a stem defect and therefore recommend that Tree Preservation Order 778 is confirmed with modification to exclude the maple tree (T1).

During a closer inspection of the maple it was observed to have two compression forks with included bark between the primary branch unions. The tree is not immediately dangerous, but the defects are significant and will require intervention by way of an overall crown reduction that will denude the tree of its current amenity value; and then require ongoing cyclic pruning every five years to contain its size, placing a maintenance burden on the owner.

During the visit with the new owner, the tree officer's attention was drawn to significant visible roots massing up against the dwelling, which is an old building, with potentially shallow foundations when compared with modern standards.

Therefore officers are of the view it is not reasonable or sustainable to retain the tree and therefore no longer consider it worthy of protection. The recommendation is to modify the order accordingly to exclude maple T1 and then confirm leaving the two oaks, T2 & T3 protected.

Local residents who raised concerns about the trees at the property when it was on the market along with neighbours who supported the TPO, have been written to explaining the recommendation to modify the order to exclude the maple tree (T1).