

FAREHAM

BOROUGH COUNCIL

Report to the Executive Member for Public Protection for Decision

Portfolio:	Public Protection
Subject:	Traffic Regulation Order – Proposed Waiting Restrictions – Coldeast Close, Sarisbury
Report of:	Director of Environmental Services
Strategy/Policy:	
Corporate Objective:	A safe and healthy place to live and work

Purpose:

To inform the Executive Member of the outcome of the statutory advertisement of a proposal to introduce waiting restrictions and to obtain authorisation to implement a Traffic Regulation Order.

Executive summary:

This report considers the introduction of waiting restrictions into Coldeast Close, to address concerns expressed by local residents.

Recommendation:

That the waiting restrictions as shown at Appendix A are introduced as advertised.

Reason:

To provide a clearer route for the passage of vehicles and to improve road safety.

Cost of Proposals:

The cost of the proposals will be met by the Traffic Management Budget.

Risk Assessment:

There are no identified risks associated with this proposal.

Appendices Appendix A : Scheme drawing

FAREHAM

BOROUGH COUNCIL

Executive Briefing Paper

Date: 2 December 2014

Subject:: Traffic Regulation Order – Proposed Waiting Restrictions - Coldeast Close, Sarisbury

Briefing by: Director of Environmental Services

Portfolio: Public Protection

Supporting Information

Background

1. Coldeast Close is a cul de sac which leads off Allotment Road, to the south of the main A27 in Sarisbury. Within its length it has a loop road and a spur, which can be seen on the drawing at Appendix A.
2. The configuration of this is such that there is a junction area within the cul de sac, but parking takes place around this junction area which has led to complaints by a number of the local residents.
3. Following this concern a site meeting was held, which was attended by officers and Members from Fareham Borough Council. Word had spread locally, and this meeting was well attended by local residents, who strongly supported the introduction of waiting restrictions around this junction area.
4. The proposed restrictions which prohibit waiting at all times, are shown at Appendix A.
5. These proposals were circulated to local residents by means of a letter drop in September 2014, which led to two responses. Both of these appreciated the need for the restrictions, but expressed concern that the parking would displace to other locations which are also potentially problematic.
6. These comments are noted, but they do not present a strong reason not to continue with the proposals. The most appropriate approach would be to monitor the performance of the restrictions and take further action in the future if it appears necessary and appropriate to do so.

Consultations

7. The Ward Councillors, County Councillor and Police were consulted on this proposal and all expressed their support.

8. The Statutory Consultees were consulted and no objections were received.

Representations

9. The proposal was advertised in October 2014 as shown at Appendix A, and no further comments were received

Conclusion

10. It is recommended that the proposed waiting restrictions are implemented as advertised and detailed at Appendix A.